

Submission ID: 7258

Subject: A417 - Missing Link ExQ1 1.11.21 response

a) I am objecting to the closure of the three signed crossings mentioned in my Representation (above) without suitable mitigation.

(Suitable mitigation: Provide one good crossing between the foot of the escarpment and the Bentham underpass).

b) No

c) Because completely severing the existing three signed crossings of the A417 referred to (for PROW 77, 78, 80, 125 and 126 with Dog Lane, 91 and 84 with Dog Lane and 127 (via A417 footway), and 86 with the A417 footway) necessitates a lengthy detour on both sides of the A417.